UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/098,667	03/15/2002	Alex Mashinsky	5068-15	5716	
27799 7590 10/30/2007 COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE 551 FIFTH AVENUE			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			AL AUBAIDI, RASHA S		
SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, 1	NY 10176		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			· 2614		
			·		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/098,667	MASHINSKY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Rasha S. AL-Aubaidi	2614			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory or period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status			•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au	<u>ıgust 2007</u> .				
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 21-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3, 5, 6 and 21-35 is/are rejected. 						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•			
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
· · · · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen 1) X Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	aton Application			

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This in response to amendment filed 08/22/2007. No claims have been added.

No claims have been canceled. Claims 1, 3, 26-30 and 33 have been amended. Claims 1-3, 5-6 and 25-34 are still pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolduc et al (US Pat No. 6,404,877), herein after referred as Bolduc in view of Yamamoto et al. (US PAT No. 6,282,563), herein after as Yamamoto.

Regarding claim 1, referring to figures 1-3, Bolduc teaches a method, comprising: receiving at a processor (e.g., service node 190) a telephone call having routing information (e.g., caller's request for a product of interest) from an originating party (e.g., caller 100) (see figs 1-3; col. 2. In 36-col. 3, In 7; and col. 5, In 21-col. 6, In 50);

`

Application/Control Number: 10/098,667

Art Unit: 2614

routing the telephone call from the processor to a terminating party (e.g., Mountain Bikes of Denver, Colo.) based on the routing information (see figs 1-3; col. 2. In 36-col. 3, In 7; and col. 5, In 21-col. 6, In 50);

determining an identity of the terminating party at the processor (see figs 1-3; col. 2. In 36-col. 3, In 7; and col. 5, In 21-col. 6, In 50);

determining at the processor targeted marketing material based on the identity of the terminating party (see figs 1-3; col. 2. In 36-col. 3, In 7; and col. 5, In 21-col. 6, In 50); and

providing the targeted marketing material from the processor to the originating party (see figs 1-3; col. 2. In 36-col. 3, In 7; and col. 5, In 21-col. 6, In 50).

Bolduc does not specifically teach "a directory database that stores information associating contact information with the identity of the called terminating party".

However, Yamamoto specifically teaches that information and identifiers regarding specific agent(s) can be stored in a storage server (21). A user can select a specific agent and from the user selection the specified agent will be determined by recognizing the agent identifier and information his/her stored in the storage server 21 (see col. 15, lines 28-40).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature of having a database that stores

Application/Control Number: 10/098,667

Art Unit: 2614

information (such as agent identifier or other information) associated with a specific agent into the Bolduc service and apparatus, in order to enhance the system effacing by choosing the desired agent. Plus this will add more speed and convenience to the users/callers.

As to claims 5-6 and 21-24, Bolduc teaches the invention substantially as claimed as described in figs 1-3; col. 2. In 36-col. 3, In 7; and col. 5, In 21-col. 6, In 50.

4. Claims 25-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolduc in view Yamamoto and further in view of Baker.

Regarding claim 25, the combination of Bolduc in view of Yamamoto does not specifically teach the use of a VOIP transaction received from the originating party as recited in claim 25.

However, Baker teach in a cal center 210 that is configured to include information assistance service provider 230 (as shown in Fig. 3), a voice information maybe packetized and transmitted to a VOIP through the Internet (see col. 6, lines 11-28).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature of receiving a VOIP transactions from a caller/originating party, as taught by Baker, into the combination of Bolduc in view of

Art Unit: 2614

Yamamoto system in order to expand the caller's options and provide flexibility. At end this will enhance the system and provide a better and wider service to customers/callers.

Claims 26-34 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claims 1-3, 5-6 and 21-25, respectively.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rasha S AL-Aubaidi whose telephone number is (571) 272-7481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (571) 272-7488.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

Art Unit: 2614

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RASHA S. AL-AUBAIDI PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 2614 10/19/2007